tent, errors in the weighings and temperature readings. Of these, the first probably caused the largest errors, since runs in which the vacuum was poor gave very erratic results (runs 9 and 12). Thinner oxide films would not stop the evaporation, but still might slow it down appreciably. However, early in the work it was recognized that this might be the main source of error; accordingly, special care was observed in all the work to reduce this error to a minimum. For results on films of nickel oxide on nickel, see the results on nickel oxide by Johnston and Marshall.<sup>3,10</sup> The temperature control of the furnace was not all that could be desired, and a considerable error might have been caused by temperature variations; however, this source of error was minimized by taking temperature readings at frequent intervals throughout the run. The errors by

(10) The referee has kindly called our attention to the fact that experiments to settle the oxide film question could be carried out in an apparatus like that described by Blewett, Liebhafsky and Hennelly in J. Chem. Phys., 7, 478 (1939), for the determination of the vapor pressure and rate of evaporation of barium oxide. He points out that if the rates of evaporation in such an apparatus are proportional to the area of the opening, then oxide films are not retarding the evaporation, provided that the vapor pressure equilibrium is maintained within.

other causes should be small in relation to these two.

Acknowledgment.—We wish to express our appreciation to the Brush Beryllium Company for the pure beryllium sample, and to Prof. H. G. Heil of the Mendenhall Laboratory of Physics, for the use of the high temperature equipment and for instructions in its use.

#### Summary

The vapor pressures of metallic beryllium in the range from 1170 to 1340°K. have been determined by the Langmuir method. The results are given in Table I, and a plot of log P vs, 1/T is given, Fig. 1. Values of  $\Delta H_0^0$  have been calculated and found to vary some with temperature, the mean value of  $\Delta H_0^0$  being (122,000  $\pm$  2500) cal./mole. The heat of vaporization was also calculated, being 78,800 cal./mole at 1250°K. Possible sources of experimental error are considered, the slowing down of evaporation by thin oxide films probably being the most important. The accommodation coefficient appears to be unity.

COLUMBUS, OHIO

RECEIVED NOVEMBER 12, 1943

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY, AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL COLLEGE OF TEXAS]

# Temperature Coefficients of Electrical Conductance of Solutions Containing Sodium Chloride, Potassium Chloride or Magnesium Sulfate or Mixtures Thereof

### BY RAYMOND W. BREMNER

### Introduction

Very little accurate information on the variation of electrical conductance with temperature is available in the literature. In this paper equations for very accurately calculating equivalent conductance as a function of centigrade temperature have been worked out. Temperature coefficients of equivalent conductance have been calculated with five-place accuracy. Temperature coefficients of specific conductance of certain standard potassium chloride solutions and of conductivity water have also been calculated. The variations of the coefficients due to temperature changes, concentration changes and mixing of electrolytes have been determined.

#### Calculations

Equivalent Conductance as a Function of Temperature.—Equation I was used for representing the equivalent conductances of twenty different solutions as a function of centigrade temperature.

Eq. Cond. = 
$$\Lambda = a + bt + ct^2 + dt^3$$
 (I)

The preparation of solutions and the experimental data have been described previously.<sup>1,2</sup> The ratio of the number of millimoles of sodium chloride to the number of millimoles of magnesium sulfate in a given quantity of each of the four solutions that contain both of these salts is 16.4315. Similarly the ratio of the number of millimoles of sodium chloride to the number of millimoles of potassium chloride in a given quantity of each of the four solutions that contains both of these salts is 47.2916. The most dilute solution of each type is designated as Solution No. 1, while the most concentrated solution is designated as Solution No. 4. Solutions of intermediate concentrations are numbered 2 and 3, respectively.

The constants a, b, c and d of Equation I were evaluated from the experimental data for each solution by the method of least squares. By use of the resulting equations the equivalent conductance was calculated for each solution at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25°. The average deviation of the calculated from the experimentally determined value was only 0.006%. In no instance was the deviation greater than 0.02%, which is the approximate maximum error in the original data.

In Table I are listed the values of the constants of equation I for each solution. The constant (d), which is the coefficient of the term of highest degree, is in every instance negative. This indicates a point of inflection at a higher temperature

<sup>(1)</sup> Raymond W. Bremner, Thomas G. Thompson and Clinton L. Utterback, THIS JOURNAL, **60**, 2616 (1938).

<sup>(2)</sup> Raymond W. Bremner, Thomas G. Thompson and C. L. Utterback, ibid., 61, 1219 (1939).

March, 1944

in each conductance vs. temperature curve, which is an essential requirement for an equation that satisfactorily represents electrical conductance as a function of temperature. The second degree equations  $\Lambda = a + bt + ct^2$  and  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$  (1  $+ at + bt^2$ ) were found to be inadequate for making the calculations. The deviations of the calculated from the experimental values ranged up to 0.1% or more when they were used.

### TABLE I

Constants for the Equation $\Lambda = a + bt + ct^2 + dt^3$							
Solu- tion	Grams of 1000 g.	i solute in of water	a × 104	b × 104	c 🗙 10	$d  imes 10^4$	
		Sodium	Chloride	Solutions			
1	NaCl	5.61836	573269	17669.9	98.081	-0.3481	
2	NaCl	11.30020	549441	16719.7	92.315	3292	
3	NaCl	19.94439	527386	15822.3	88.058	3191	
4	NaCi	27.26159	513557	15338.6	80.874	2277	
		Potassiur	n Chlorid	e Solution	3		
1	KCl	0.150699	796016	23802 . 6	125.654	7270	
2	KCI	0.301442	787640	23472.6	123.695	6903	
3	KC1	0.537882	779097	23225.7	118.655	6413	
4	KCI	0.716222	774437	23027.0	119.224	6315	
Magnesium Sulfate Solutions							
1	MgSO4	0.704166	452660	14624.2	87.686	6457	
2	MgSO4	1.41629	401717	12922.4	75.583	6321	
3	MgSO4	2.49969	361457	11664.6	60.412	4536	
4	MgSO.	3.41678	341053	10905.1	64.458	6604	
ľ	lixed Solu	tions of Sodi	ium Chlor	ide and P	otassium (	hlorid <b>e</b>	
1	NaCl	5.61836					
	+ KC1	0.151522	575820	17686.1	97.930	3787	
2	NaCl	11.30020	•	-			
	+ KC1	0.304756	551653	16695.6	97.240	4700	
3	NaCl	19.94439					
	+KC1	0.537882	52913 <sub>1</sub>	15896.9	85.328	2788	
4	NaCl	27.26159					
	+ KCl	0.735220	515739	15320. <sub>1</sub>	85.140	3470	
Mixed Solutions of Sodium Chloride and Magnesium Sulfate							
1	NaCl	5.61836					
	+ MgSO	0.704166	548532	16883.9	97.266	3985	
2	NaCl	11.30020	-				
	+ MgSO	4 1.41629	$52080_{2}$	15835.2	95.810	5179	
3	NaCl	19.94439					
	+ MgSO	4 2.49969	495340	14940.6	84.325	3418	
		0					

NaCl 27.26159 + MgSO4 3.41678 480024 14331.2 83.209 - .3909

For these reasons Equation I is recommended for use in calculating equivalent conductance as a function of centigrade temperature.

Temperature coefficients of equivalent conductance were calculated by use of Equation II and the constants are listed in Table I.

Temp. coef. 
$$= \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda dt} = \frac{b + 2ct + 3dt^2}{\Lambda}$$
 (II)

They were calculated with five-place accuracy for each of the 20 different solutions at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25°, and are listed in Part 1 of Table II. Specific Conductance as a Function of Tem-

**Specific Conductance as a Function of Temperature.**—Equation III has been used previously to calculate the specific conductances of 0.01 demal and 0.1 demal potassium chloride solutions in the temperature range 0 to  $25^{\circ 3}$ 

Sp. cond. = 
$$L = a + bt + ct^2 + dt^3$$
 (III)

(3) Raymond W. Bremner and Thomas G. Thompson, THIS JOURNAL, 59, 2372 (1937).

<b>CABLE</b>	II
--------------	----

#### PART 1-TEMPERATURE COEFFICIENTS OF EQUIVALENT CONDUCTANCE

<b>~</b> .	(	Coef. $\times$ 10	) <sup>6</sup> = (d∆//	Adı) X 10¶	i	
tion	٥°	5°	10°	15°	20°	25°
		Sodiur	n Chloride	Solutions		
1	30823	28048	25713	23716	2198 <sub>6</sub>	20470
2	30431	27732	25453	23490	21802	20319
3	30001	27408	25195	23292	21634	20179
4	29867	27236	25026	23140	21508	20080
		Potassi	um Chlorid	le Solution	s	
1	2990 <sub>2</sub>	27236	24953	22973	21235	19 <del>6</del> 9a
2	29801	27156	24895	22930	21208	19682
3	2981 <sub>1</sub>	27128	24845	22876	21154	19634
4	29734	27084	24825	22872	21163	19652
		Magnesi	um Sulfate	Solutions	I	
1	32307	29272	26661	24390	22394	20624
2	32168	29117	26483	24185	22160	20359
3	3227	29045	26333	24013	22001	20234
4	31975	28960	26314	23976	21894	20026
Mixe	ed Solutio	us of Sod	ium Chlor	ide and P	otassium	Chloride
1	30715	2795 <sub>6</sub>	25628	23633	21901	2037 0
2	30265	27659	25416	23463	21746	20221
3	30044	27393	25160	23247	21588	20133
4	29705	2716	24987	23103	21454	19996
Mixe	ed Solutio	ns of Soi	ium Chlor	ide and N	Aagnesium	Sulfate
1	30780	28059	25746	23753	22015	20484
2	30406	27817	25568	23595	21850	20295
3	30162	27544	25312	23383	21697	20200
4	29855	27332	2515 <b>3</b>	23251	21574	20085
Part	2Тем	PERATUR	E COEFF	ICIENTS	of Speci	FIC CON-

	Coef.	X 106	= (dL/)	Ldt) X	104		
°C.	0	5	10	15	18	20	25
KC1 0.01D	29792	27036	24704	22701	21627	20957	19421
KCI 0.1D	29185	2653 <b>9</b>	24272	22304	21241	20575	1904
Conductiv-			-		-	•	•
ity water	43500	36200	31800	29000	28000	27400	26300

When applied to the conductivity water used the constants obtained for specific conductance  $\times 10^6$  are a = 0.495, b = 0.0218, c = -0.000002 and d = 0.0000039. Since the conductance of the water used was only a small correction factor, it was less accurately determined.

By use of Equation IV temperature coefficients of specific conductance were calculated; those of 0.01 demal and 0.1 demal standard potassium chloride solutions with five-place accuracy, and those of the conductivity water with three place accuracy. They are listed in Part 2 of Table II.

Temp. coef.  
of sp. cond. = 
$$\frac{dL}{Ldt} = \frac{b + 2ct + 3dt^2}{L}$$
 (IV)

#### Discussion of Results

Effects of Concentration.—As illustrated by Part 1 of Table II the temperature coefficients of equivalent conductance decrease with increasing concentration in every instance. This is probably because the coefficients for water are higher, as shown in Part 2 of Table II. The data on standard potassium chloride solutions substantiate this observation.

The effect of temperature on this decrease depends upon the particular salt or mixture of salts involved. The decrease is most rapid at  $0^{\circ}$  and progressively becomes slower up to  $25^{\circ}$ , for all the solutions containing a high proportion of monovalent ions. Solutions containing only magnesium sulfate and water exhibit the reverse behavior. The decrease is slowest for the most dilute solutions (potassium chloride only) and progressively becomes more rapid to those of higher concentration (sodium chloride). These effects are best observed by plotting coefficients against concentrations.

Effects of Temperature.—The temperature coefficients of equivalent conductance considered decrease by about 1.5 to 2.0% of their values for each degree increase in temperature. The fact that they decrease is consistent with measurements showing coefficients to be negative at much higher temperatures. This decrease is most rapid for water and becomes less rapid the more concentrated the solution, except for solutions containing only magnesium sulfate and water. This difference and also the one mentioned in the preceding paragraph are likely due to the fact that magnesium sulfate is a different type of electrolyte in that it yields divalent ions.

Effects of Adding a Second Salt.—The addition of a salt that forms solutions with temperature coefficients higher or lower than those of sodium chloride solutions to the latter, produces solutions with coefficients of intermediate value. The magnitude of the intermediate value depends upon the concentration, and the temperature, even though the mole ratios are kept constant. The effect of adding a salt is either in opposition to or in addition to the effect of increasing the concentration, depending upon whether the coefficients of solutions of the added salt are higher or lower, respectively, than those of solutions containing only sodium chloride and water. For example, the addition of magnesium sulfate to sodium chloride solutions increases the temperature coefficients of the latter. Thus, two opposing factors are in operation, the effect of adding a salt with a larger temperature coefficient outweighing the effect of increasing the concentration.

When temperature coefficient is considered as a function of concentration, there is a pronounced maximum in the temperature coefficient at concentration no. 3 for magnesium sulfate solutions at  $0^{\circ}$ . Slight maxima occur also at the same concentration for this salt at  $25^{\circ}$  and for potassium chloride solutions at  $0^{\circ}$ . These maxima are reflected in the corresponding sodium chloride solutions to which the salts mentioned have been added. The maxima, particularly for the magnesium sulfate solutions at  $0^{\circ}$ , are larger than could be attributed to experimental errors, and as yet we can offer no explanation for them. It is a noteworthy fact that they occur mainly at  $0^{\circ}$ .

### Summary

1. An equation is recommended for calculating the equivalent conductances of solutions as a function of centigrade temperature. The maxinum deviation of the calculated from the experimental equivalent conductance was 0.02%, and the average deviation was only 0.006%.

2. By use of the differentiated form of the equation the temperature coefficients of equivalent conductance of twenty different solutions have been calculated with five-place accuracy at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25°. Also temperature coefficients of specific conductance have been calculated at 0, 5, 10, 15, 18, 20 and 25° for the conductivity water used and for 0.01 demal and 0.1 demal standard potassium chloride solutions.

3. Variations of temperature coefficients of electrical conductance of the salt solutions, due to concentration changes, temperature changes and the addition of a second salt have been determined.

COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS RECEIVED AUGUST 31, 1943

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE NOVES CHEMICAL LABORATORY, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS]

### Osmotic Pressures for Mixed Solvents<sup>1</sup>

## BY FREDERICK T. WALL

During recent years osmotic pressure measurements have assumed increased importance as a means of determining molecular weights of high polymeric substances.<sup>2a,b</sup> Although the theory of osmotic pressures for simple systems has been well formulated, little attention has been devoted to the theoretical aspects of osmotic pressures for

(1) Original manuscript received July 12, 1943.

(2) (a) G. V. Schulz, Z. physik. Chem., 176, 317 (1936); R. M. Fuoss and D. J. Mead, J. Phys. Chem., 47, 59 (1943); P. J. Flory, THIS JOURNAL, 65, 372 (1943). See also H. Mark, "Physical Chemistry of High Polymeric Systems," Interscience Publishers, New York, N. Y., 1940, p. 228, for a general discussion. (b) G. Gee Trans. Faraday Soc. 36, 1171 (1940) systems with mixed solvents. Since G. Gee<sup>2</sup> has carried out measurements under such conditions, it appears desirable to consider thermodynamically the significance of osmotic pressures for mixed solvents and to find out just what it is that one measures experimentally.

For an ordinary solution consisting of a solvent (A) and a solute (S), the osmotic pressure is a definite thermodynamic property of the solution. It is defined simply as the excess pressure which must be applied to the solution to increase the partial pressure of the solvent up to the vapor pressure of the pure solvent at the same tempera-